

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

January 2, 2018

DIVISION II

In re the Personal Restraint Petition of
CHARLES D. HAWKINS,
Petitioner.


No. 50599-1-II

ORDER DISMISSING PETITION

Charles Hawkins seeks relief from the Department of Corrections' refusal to credit him for earned time between March 1996 and April 1998, while he was in intensive management status, and its restoration of only 365 days of the 540 days of good conduct time he lost as a sanction for disciplinary infractions.

An inmate does not have a liberty interest in earned time or good conduct time. *In re Pers. Restraint of Pullman*, 167 Wn.2d 205, 214, 218 P.3d 913 (2009). He only has the right to have the Department follow its own rules. *Pullman*, 167 Wn.2d at 218. Under DOC Policy 350.100.III.4, an inmate in intensive management status cannot earn earned time. And under DOC Policy 350.100.IX, the Department has discretion as to how much good time may be restored. The Department followed its own rules. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Hawkins's petition is dismissed under RAP 16.11(b).


Acting Chief Judge

cc: Charles D. Hawkins
Annie L. Yu