

*The Court of Appeals
of the
State of Washington*

RICHARD D. JOHNSON,
Court Administrator/Clerk

DIVISION I
One Union Square
600 University Street
Seattle, WA
98101-4170
(206) 464-7750
TDD: (206) 587-5505

June 15, 2017

Department of Corrections A.G. Office
Attorney at Law
PO Box 40116
Olympia, WA 98504-0116
correader@atg.wa.gov

Ana Luisa Yu
Attorney at Law
PO Box 40116
Olympia, WA 98504-0116
AnnieY@atg.wa.gov

Justin Michael Dollison
DOC #830997
Lincoln Park Work Release
3706 S Yakima
Tacoma, WA 98418

CASE #: 75802-1-I
Personal Restraint Petition of Justin Michael Dollison

Counsel:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Order Dismissing Personal Restraint Petition entered by this court in the above case today.

Pursuant to RAP 16.14(c), "the decision is subject to review by the Supreme Court only by a motion for discretionary review on the terms and in the manner provided in Rule 13.5A."

This court's file in the above matter has been closed.

Sincerely,



Richard D. Johnson
Court Administrator/Clerk

CMR

enclosure

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
DIVISION ONE**

IN THE MATTER OF THE)	
PERSONAL RESTRAINT OF:)	No. 75802-1-I
)	
JUSTIN MICHAEL DOLLISON,)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
)	
Petitioner.)	
<hr/>)	

Justin Dollison filed a personal restraint petition challenging his confinement by the Department of Corrections (DOC) for the sentences imposed for 2015 convictions in King County Superior Court Cause No. 15-1-04252-8 SEA. He claims that a district court detainer is unlawfully affecting the DOC's calculation of his early release date and may result in his confinement past his early release date. He also claims the detainer is adversely affecting his opportunities for DOC programming. In order to obtain relief by means of a personal restraint petition, Dollison must demonstrate that he is being unlawfully restrained. See In re Pers. Restraint of Cashaw, 123 Wn.2d 138, 148-49, 866 P.2d 8 (1994); RAP 16.4.

Regardless of the merits of Dollison's claim, he has been released from DOC custody. Therefore, he is no longer restrained or otherwise prejudiced by the manner in which the DOC calculated his release date or offered programs. His claim is therefore moot. See In re Pers. Restraint of Cross, 99 Wn.2d 373, 376-77, 662 P.2d 828 (1983) (a claim is moot if the court can provide no effective relief). Accordingly, because this court cannot provide effective relief, the petition shall be dismissed.

No. 75802-1-I/2

Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the personal restraint petition is dismissed under RAP

16.11(b).

Done this 15th day of JUNE, 2017.

Trickey, ACT
Acting Chief Judge

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS DIV. 1
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2017 JUN 15 PM 2:52