in King County Superior Court Clerk's Office NOV - 520072 Casino 0 3 Superior Court Clerk 4 5 6 7 8 STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 9 07-2-35455-78FA STATE OF WASHINGTON, NO. 10 Plaintiff, 11 v. 12 HOUSEVALUES, INC., a Washington COMPLAINT FOR Corporation, in its own name and doing INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER 13 business as HOUSEVALUES.COM, and RELIEF UNDER THE JUSTLISTED.COM, CONSUMER PROTECTION 14 ACT Defendant. 15 16 The Plaintiff, State of Washington, by and through its attorneys Robert M. McKenna, 17 Attorney General, and Shannon Smith, Assistant Attorney General, brings this action against 18 the Defendant named herein. The State alleges the following on information and belief: 19 I. INTRODUCTION 20 1.1 The Plaintiff, State of Washington, brings this action pursuant to RCW 19.86, 21 the Consumer Protection Act. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction, and other equitable 22 relief, including civil penalties, and attorneys' costs and fees, based on violations of the 23 Consumer Protection Act. 24 II. **PLAINTIFF** 25 2.1 The Plaintiff is the State of Washington ("State"). 26



2.2 The Attorney General is authorized to commence this action pursuant to RCW 19.86.080 and RCW 19.86.140.

III. DEFENDANT

3.1 Defendant HouseValues, Inc. (HouseValues) is a Washington for-profit corporation. HouseValues is located at 11332 N.E., 122nd Way, Kirkland, Washington, 98034. HouseValues does business under various names, including Housevalues.com and, Justlisted.com. HouseValues' business activities are related to the real estate industry. HouseValues transacts or has transacted business in the state of Washington.

IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4.1 The State files this Complaint and institutes these proceedings under RCW 19.86.
- 4.2 The Defendant or their agents have engaged in the conduct set forth in this Complaint in King County and elsewhere in the state of Washington.
 - 4.3 Venue is proper in King County pursuant to RCW 4.14.020.

V. NATURE OF TRADE OR COMMERCE

- 5.1 Defendant is now, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of RCW 19.86.020 through advertising, marketing, promotion, provision, and sale of real estate leads and other related services to real estate agents and mortgage brokers.
- 5.2 Defendant has been at all times relevant to this action in competition with others engaged in similar business in the state of Washington.

VI. FACTS

6.1 HouseValues is engaged primarily in the business of selling real estate leads on a subscription basis to real estate agents in the state of Washington and elsewhere in the United States and Canada. HouseValues markets its lead subscription service to real estate agents through different media, including television, radio, over the Internet, e-mail advertising, and

25

telemarketing. The leads ostensibly identify individuals who are interested in selling or buying real estate.

- 6.2 HouseValues obtains its inventory of leads from individuals who provide information to HouseValues through its <u>Housevalues.com</u> and <u>Justlisted.com</u> Web sites. Through its <u>Housevalues.com</u> Web site, HouseValues offers prospective "sellers" a free home valuation report. HouseValues does not provide a report, however. Once the person enters his or her personal information on the <u>Housevalues.com</u> Web site, HouseValues sends the information to a subscribing real estate agent, who will contact the person and offer to provide the person with a home valuation report.
- 6.3 <u>Justlisted.com</u> works in a similar way, only it is designed to capture information about individuals who are interested in purchasing real estate. Through its <u>JustListed.com</u> Web site, HouseValues offers to provide prospective "buyers" with free real estate listings in their area. HouseValues does not provide the individuals with listings. Once the person enters his or her personal information on the <u>JustListed.com</u> Web site, HouseValues sends the information to real estate agents who subscribe to its services, and the subscribing agents provide the individuals with listings in their desired areas.
- depending on the number and type of leads HouseValues will provide to the subscriber. For example, HouseValues charged a real estate agent \$369.00 per month for three (3) listing leads per month in the Kirkland, Washington area from HouseValues charged the same real estate agent \$279.00 per month for three (3) home buyer leads per month in the Woodinville, Washington area from Justlisted.com. HouseValues charged the real estate agent \$195.00 to set up the service.
- 6.5 Typically, HouseValues' subscription term is 12 months. At the expiration of the first 12 months, the subscription will continue on a month-to-month basis. HouseValues

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

imposes an early termination penalty of 50% of the remaining balance under the agreement on agents who cancel before the expiration of the initial term.

- 6.6 In its marketing and advertising, HouseValues represents expressly or by implication that the leads are good quality.
- 6.7 HouseValues represents that its leads are good quality; however, HouseValues has sold leads to subscribers that plainly are not valid leads.
- that they may subscribe to its services on a "trial" basis. HouseValues also has represented to real estate agents that they may cancel their subscriptions at any time if they are not satisfied with the service. A typical trial offer is a three-month lead subscription for \$99.00 per month. Despite these representations of a trial period or easy cancellation, HouseValues requires each subscriber to sign an agreement for an initial term, which typically is 12 months. If a real estate agent cancels his or her subscription during that initial period, HouseValues charges the real estate agent an early termination penalty of 50% of the remaining charges due under the agreement.

VII. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION False, Deceptive, or Misleading Representations about Lead Quality

- 7.1 Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1.1 through 6.8 and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full.
- 7.2 HouseValues misrepresents expressly or by implication that the leads it sells to subscribers are good quality.
- 7.3 HouseValues misrepresents expressly or by implication that 22% of the leads it sells will result in a real estate transaction for its subscribers.
- 7.4 HouseValues misrepresents expressly or by implication that the contact information for each lead is accurate.
 - 7.5 The conduct described in paragraphs 7.2 through 7.4 separately and taken

22

23

24

25

together, has the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers and constitutes unfair or deceptive acts or practices in trade or commerce, and unfair methods of competition in violation of RCW 19.86.020.

VIII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Misrepresentation of Ability to Cancel

- 8.1 Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1.1 through 7.7 and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full.
- 8.2 HouseValues misrepresents expressly or by implication that real estate agents may try its subscription service for a trial period or other period of shorter duration than its typical 12 month subscription term, without incurring an early termination penalty upon cancellation.
- 8.3 HouseValues has imposed early termination penalties against real estate agents who have cancelled their subscriptions despite HouseValues' express or implied representations that it would not do so.
- 8.4 The conduct described in paragraphs 8.1 to 8.3 has the capacity to mislead a substantial number of consumers and constitutes unfair or deceptive acts or practices in trade or commerce, and unfair methods of competition in violation of RCW 19.86.

IX. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, State of Washington, prays for relief as follows:

- 9.1 That the Court adjudge and decree that the Defendant has engaged in the conduct complained of herein.
- 9.2 That the Court adjudge and decree that the conduct complained of constitutes unfair or deceptive acts and practices and an unfair method of competition and is unlawful in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.
- 9.3 That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining the Defendant, and its representatives, successors, assigns, officers, agents, servants, employees,

and all other persons acting or claiming to act for, on behalf of, or in active concert or participation with the Defendant, from continuing or engaging in the unlawful conduct complained of herein.

- 9.4 That the Court assess civil penalties, pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, of up to two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) per violation against the Defendant for each and every violation of RCW 19.86.020 caused by the conduct complained of herein.
- 9.5 That the Court make such orders pursuant to RCW 19.86.080 as it deems appropriate to provide for restitution to consumers of money or property acquired by the Defendant as a result of the conduct complained of herein.
- 9.6 That the Court make such orders pursuant to RCW 19.86.080 to provide that the Plaintiff, State of Washington, have and recover from the Defendant the costs of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees.
 - 9.7 For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

 DATED this way day of November, 2007.

ROBERT M. MCKENNA Attorney General

SHANNON E. SMITH, WSBA # 19077

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington