1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. 3:21-cv-5595-DGE-DWC KENNETH MAURICE SUTTON, 11 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND 12 RECOMMENDATION AND v. **DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S** 13 RONALD HAYNES, et al., **COMPLAINT** 14 Defendants. 15 16 On September 23, 2021, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed a civil 17 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging that Defendants Washington State 18 Department of Corrections; Ronald Haynes, Superintendent at SCCC; Sara Kariko, Chief 19 Medical Officer, M.D./Health Care Manager at SCCC; Ryan Herrington, Facility Medical 20 Director at SCCC; and Ron Attard, Food Services Manager (FSM) at SCCC, violated his Eighth 21 and Fourteenth Amendment rights by exposing him to Covid-19. (Dkt. No. 6.) 22 23 24

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT - 1

On September 23, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel instructed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint, curing a number of deficiencies in Plaintiff's pleadings. (Dkt. No. 7 at 4.)

Plaintiff filed his Amended Complaint on December 1, 2021. (Dkt. No. 10.)¹ However, the deficiencies identified in the original Complaint remained. In fact, the Amended Complaint was nearly identical to the original Complaint, with only slight changes to a few words. (*See id.* at 4–6.)

On December 10, 2021, Magistrate Judge Christel, finding that Plaintiff had not adequately amended his Complaint, issued a report and recommendation ("R&R") recommending that Plaintiff's Complaint and this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to state a claim. (Dkt. No. 7.) Plaintiff did not respond to the R&R.

The Court, having reviewed Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable David W. Christel, United States Magistrate Judge, any objections thereto, and the remaining record, hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:

- (1) The Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED;
- (2) Plaintiff's Amended Complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to state a claim;
- (3) Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Withdraw the original Complaint (Dkt. No. 8) is DENIED;
- (4) As Plaintiff failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, the Court finds this case is a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g);

¹ Prior to filing the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Voluntarily Withdraw his Complaint. (Dkt. No. 9.) Judge Christel ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint or otherwise have the action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. (Dkt. No. 9.) As he later filed his Amended Complaint, the Motion to Voluntarily Withdraw is now moot and therefore denied.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

- (5) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Plaintiff and to the Hon. DavidW. Christel; and
- (6) The Clerk shall close this case.

Dated this 5th day of January 2021.

David G. Estudillo

David G. Estudillo United States District Judge

23

24