## ENDORSED FILED SUPERIOR COURT

AUG 05 2021

COWLITZ COUNTY STACI MYKLEBUST, Clerk

1 2 3

45

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

2324

2526

STATE OF WASHINGTON COWLITZ COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

WASHINGTON STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, presenting the case in support of the complaint filed by COURTNEY MILLER,

Plaintiff,

V.

TONI HAMILTON,

Defendants.

NO. 21 2 00607 08

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES UNDER THE WASHINGTON LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION

### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff Washington State Human Rights Commission (the Commission), by and through its attorney Emily C. Nelson, Assistant Attorney General, files this action against Defendant Toni Hamilton to remedy unlawful discrimination in the rental of residential housing.
- 1.2 This is an action under the Washington Law Against Discrimination (WLAD) to correct unlawful and discriminatory housing practices, and to provide appropriate relief to prospective resident and Complainant, Courtney Miller, who was adversely affected by such practices. The Commission alleges that Defendant Hamilton unlawfully discriminated against Ms. Miller, a member of a protected class, when she refused to rent a residence to Ms. Miller on

the basis of her disability, in violation of RCW 49.60.030(1)(c), RCW 49.60.222(1)(a), and RCW 49.30.222(1)(f); and further refused to make a reasonable accommodation in the rules, policies, and practices of the residence in order to afford Ms. Miller an equal opportunity to use and enjoy the residence, in violation of RCW 49.60.222(2)(b). The Commission also alleges that Defendant Hamilton violated RCW 49.60.222(1)(g) by publishing advertisements and/or making statements that, directly or indirectly, express an intent to exclude individuals with disabilities who require certain reasonable accommodations from the rental property.

### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2.1 The Commission has jurisdiction to prosecute this case. RCW 49.60.030(2), RCW 49.60.340. RCW 49.60.240(1)(c) requires the Commission to investigate complaints of housing discrimination, and if it makes a finding of reasonable cause to believe discrimination has occurred, to seek relief for such discrimination. If an agreement to eliminate the unfair practice is not reached, the Complainant or Defendant may elect to have the claims on which reasonable cause was found decided in a civil action in Superior Court under RCW 49.60.030(2), RCW 49.60.340(1)-(2). After the Commission's reasonable cause finding, Complainant Courtney Miller made such an election.
- 2.2 This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to RCW 49.60.340(2), as the Commission has commenced this action within thirty days of Complainant's election to have the claims decided in a civil action under RCW 49.60.030(2).
- 2.3 The violations alleged in this Complaint were committed, in whole or in part, in Cowlitz County, and Defendant resides and transacts business in Cowlitz County. Venue is thus proper in Cowlitz County pursuant to RCW 4.12.020 and RCW 4.12.025.

### III. PARTIES

- 3.1 Plaintiff is the Washington State Human Rights Commission
- 3.2 Defendant Toni Hamilton is a resident of Cowlitz County, Washington, who owns and leases rental properties in Cowlitz County.

# IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- **4.1** Defendant Toni Hamilton resides in Longview, Washington. On information and belief, Hamilton owns and manages a single-family rental property: 409 Coal Creek Road, Longview, WA 98632.
- **4.2** On or about April 11, 2019, Hamilton advertised this property online with a local news agency located in Longview, Washington (http://tdn.com).
- **4.3** Hamilton's advertisement stated the following: "(1) 3 bed, 2 bath; (1) 2 bed 1 both duplex, fireplace, washer, dryer, fully furnished. All utilities paid by landlord, from \$1500./mo. NO PETS. 360.430.7671."
- **4.4** On or about June 1, 2019, Complainant Courtney Miller learned through the Daily News website that Hamilton's duplex was for rent. Miller had not previously rented from Hamilton. Miller has a disability and uses an emotional support animal to mitigate that disability.
- 4.5 On or about June 1, 2019, Miller called Hamilton to inquire about the duplex and arrange a time to view the property. Hamilton told Miller that the duplex had three bedrooms, acres of land for Miller and her son to run and have fun, and "the kitchen was so big that we could skin fish."
- 4.6 On or about June 5, 2019, Miller, along with her father and minor son, went to see Hamilton's duplex. After viewing the rental, Miller told Hamilton that she had an emotional support animal. Hamilton stated that it was ridiculous for people to have emotional support animals, and began questioning Miller's disability. When Miller attempted to explain her need for the emotional support animal, Hamilton responded that people with Miller's disability should not have children. Hamilton then pulled the rental application out of Miller's hands, stating that the property was not for her and she wouldn't need the application.
- **4.7** The duplex remained available thereafter. On June 20, 2019, Hamilton began advertising the duplex online again, and continued to advertise the rental on September 26, 2019, and October 24, 2019.

1	4.8 Miller was harmed and suffered damages as a result of Hamilton's actions.	
2	V. CAUSES OF ACTION	
3	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
4	(Violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination – Discriminatory Refusal to Rent Property)	
5	5.1 The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set for	
6	in each of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.	
7	5.2 Individuals have the right to be free from discrimination because of a disabilit	
8	including, but not limited to, the right to engage in real estate transactions without discriminatio	
9	RCW 49.60.030(1)(c).	
10	5.3 It is an unfair practice to refuse to rent property to a prospective tenant because	
11	of a disability. RCW 49.60.222(1)(a).	
12	5.4 Defendant Hamilton unlawfully discriminated against Complainant Miller b	
13	refusing to rent to her because of her disability—specifically, that she requires an emotion	
14	support animal to mitigate her disability, in violation of RCW 49.60.030(1)(c) an	
15	RCW 49.60.222(1)(a).	
16	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
17	(Violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination – Making Housing Unavailable)	
18	5.5 The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set fort	
19	in each of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.	
20	5.6 It is an unfair practice for a housing provider to make a rental unavailable to	
21	person because of a disability. RCW 49.60.222(1)(f).	
22	5.7 Defendant Hamilton unlawfully discriminated against Complainant Miller b	
23	refusing to allow her to apply for Hamilton's otherwise available rental property because of he	
24	disability and need for an emotional support animal, in violation of RCW 49.60.222(1)(f).	
25		

1	
2	C
3	
4	in ea
5	
6	mail
7	direc
8	of di
9	{{  }
10	othe
11	and
12	discr
13	RCV
14	
15	
16	
17	in ea
18	
19	accor
20	neces
21	equal
22	
23	refus

1 |

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination - Discriminatory Housing Advertisements )

- 5.8 The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth n each of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 5.9 It is an unfair practice for a housing provider to make, print, circulate, post, or mail, or cause to be so made or published a statement, advertisement, or sign, which indicates, directly or indirectly, an intent to make a limitation, specification, or discrimination on the basis of disability. RCW 49.60.222(1)(g).
- 5.10 Defendant Hamilton unlawfully discriminated against Complainant Miller, and other similarly situated individuals, by publishing advertisements on the Daily News website, and making verbal statements, that purported to indicate a preference, limitation, or discrimination based on whether an individual has a disability, in violation of RCW 49.60.222(1)(g).

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination – Failure to Provide a Reasonable Accommodation in Housing)

- **5.11** The Commission re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in each of the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 5.12 It is an unfair practice for a housing provider to refuse to make reasonable accommodation in rules, policies, practices, or services when such accommodations may be necessary to afford a person with the presence of any sensory, mental, or physical disability equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling. RCW 49.60.222(2)(b).
- 5.13 Defendant Hamilton unlawfully discriminated against Complainant Miller by refusing to make a reasonable accommodation for Miller's emotional support animal, in violation of RCW 49.60.222(2)(b).

24

25

1	II.	VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
2	WHEREFO	RE, Plaintiff Washington State Human Rights Commission prays that the Court:		
3	5.1	Adjudge and decree that Defendant has engaged in the conduct complained of		
4	herein.			
5	5.2	Adjudge and decree that Defendant's conduct violates the Washington Law Against		
6	Discrimination, including RCW 49.60.030(1)(c); RCW 49.60.222(1)(a), (f), and (g); and			
7	RCW 49.60.222(2)(b).			
8	5.3	Enjoin Defendant from discriminating against persons based on a disability and		
9	require Defendant to change their policies and procedures to comply with this injunction.			
10	5.4	Order other equitable relief which the Court finds necessary to eliminate the		
11	effects of pa	st discrimination, and to position Complainant Miller as close as possible to the		
12	situation she would have been in but for the discrimination. This includes retaining jurisdiction			
13	if necessary t	o fully effectuate this Court's order.		
14	5.5	Order Defendant to attend a complete session of fair housing training approved		
15	in advance by the Civil Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office.			
16	5.6	Award damages or other appropriate monetary relief to Complainant Miller in an		
17	amount to be proven at trial.			
18	5.7	Assess a civil penalty against Defendant in the amount of up to \$10,000 pursuant		
19	to RCW 49.6	0.225(1)(a).		
20	5.8	Award such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
21				
22	//			
23	//			
24	//			
25	//			
26				

1	DATED this 2nd day of August, 2021.
2	ROBERT W. FERGUSON
3	Attorney General
4	$(\partial \theta + T)$
5	EMILY C. NELSON, WSBA #48440 Assistant Attorney General
6	Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
7	Office of the Attorney General Wing Luke Civil Rights Division 800 5th Avenue, Suite 2000
8	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 342-6405
9	Èmily.Nelson@atg.wa.gov
10	Attorney for Plaintiff Washington State Human Rights Commission
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
H	