- 1.6 Attorneys for Judgment Debtor: Bradley P. Thoreson and John B. Crosetto Buchalter
- 1.7 Plaintiff State of Washington conducted an investigation and commenced this action pursuant to the Consumer Protection Act (CPA), RCW 19.86, and the Collection Agency Act (CAA), RCW 19.16.
- 1.8 Defendant Machol & Johannes, LLC, is a Colorado limited liability company headquartered at 700 17th Street, Suite 200, Denver, Colorado 80202.
- Defendant Machol & Johannes, PLLC, d/b/a Machol & Johannes, LLC, is a Washington limited liability company, located at 2800 156th Avenue SE, Suite 105, Bellevue, Washington 98007.
- 1.10 Defendant Jacques A. Machol III is a principal and owner of Defendant Machol & Johannes, LLC, and Defendant Machol & Johannes, PLLC, d/b/a Machol & Johannes, LLC, and a resident of Denver, Colorado.
- 1.11 Defendant Randall D. Johannes is a former principal and owner of Defendant Machol & Johannes, LLC, and Defendant Machol & Johannes, PLLC, d/b/a Machol & Johannes, LLC, and a resident of Superior, Colorado.
- 1.12 Defendants were each served or waived service and appear by and through their attorneys, Brad P. Thoreson and John B. Crosetto of Buchalter in Seattle, Washington.
- 1.13 The State of Washington appears by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General, and Matthew Geyman and Amy C. Teng, Assistant Attorneys General.
- 1.14 The State and Defendants have agreed on a basis for settlement of the matters alleged in the State's Fifth Amended Complaint (Complaint) and to entry of this Consent Decree against Defendants without the need for trial or adjudication of any issue of law or fact.
- 1.15 Defendants recognize and agree that this Consent Decree is entered into voluntarily and that no promises, representations, or threats have been made by the Attorney

General's Office (AGO) or any member, officer, agent, or representative thereof to induce Defendants to enter into this Consent Decree, except the provisions and representations herein.

- 1.16 Defendants waive any right they may have to appeal from this Consent Decree or to otherwise contest the validity of this Consent Decree.
- 1.17 Defendants further agree this Court has and shall retain jurisdiction of this action and jurisdiction over Defendants for the purpose of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree.

The Court finds no just reason for defay.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

II. GENERAL

- 2.1 This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over the parties. The State's Complaint in this matter states claims upon which relief may be granted under the provisions of the CPA, RCW 19.86, and the CAA, RCW 19.16.
- 2.2 This Consent Decree or the fact of its entry does not constitute evidence or an admission by any party regarding the existence or non-existence of any issue, fact, or violation of any law alleged by the State.
- 2.3 This Consent Decree resolves with prejudice all claims raised and which could have been raised by the State against Defendants in this action arising out of or relating to the acts and omissions described in the Complaint, except that Defendants' material failure to comply with this Consent Decree shall permit the Attorney General of Washington to take such further action against Defendants as may be necessary and appropriate as provided herein.

III. INJUNCTIONS

3.1 <u>Application of Injunctions</u>. The injunctive provisions of this Consent Decree shall apply to Defendants and their successors, assigns, employees, contractors, representatives, and all others acting in concert or active participation with Defendants.

- 3.2 <u>Notice</u>. Within thirty (30) days following entry of this Consent Decree, Defendants shall inform all successors, assigns, employees, contractors, representatives, and all others acting in concert or active participation with Defendants of the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and shall direct those persons and entities to comply with this Consent Decree.
- 3.3 <u>Injunctive Relief.</u> Defendants and their successors, assigns, employees, contractors, representatives and all others acting in concert or active participation with Defendants shall permanently engage in or refrain from engaging in the following acts and practices when operating in the State of Washington:
- 3.3.1 Defendants shall develop and maintain debt collection policies and procedures consistent with the requirements of Washington's garnishment laws, RCW 6.27, and all applicable laws;
- 3.3.2 Defendants shall ensure that statutorily-compliant garnishment exemption claim forms are provided to Washington consumers in all future garnishments, as provided in RCW 6.27.140;
- 3.3.3 Defendants shall release all ongoing garnishments in which Washington consumers were sent exemption claim forms that did not comply with RCW 6.27.140, and if Defendants seek to conduct garnishments to collect from those consumers in the future, they shall use correct exemption forms;
- 3.3.4 Defendants shall ensure that garnishment fees and costs are not assessed against Washington consumers when garnishments do not capture funds from the garnishee bank or employer, in compliance with RCW 6.27.250(3); and
- 3.3.5 Defendants shall ensure that all future applications for judgments on answers to writs of garnishment include underlying service documents attached to the affidavits regarding service on Washington consumers, as provided in RCW 6.27.130.

IV. RESTITUTION

- 4.1 Defendants shall take the following steps to provide restitution to Washington consumers. For purposes of restitution pursuant to Section IV of this Consent Decree a "Washington consumer" includes any consumer who resided in Washington State during the time periods indicated in paragraphs 4.2 through 4.6, even if the consumer has since moved out of state.
- 4.2 For each garnishment exemption claim form Defendants sent to a Washington consumer from June 7, 2018 to May 31, 2019, in a bank garnishment that did not involve private student loan debt. Defendants shall refund to the consumer all funds recovered in the garnishment if the amount recovered was less than \$500, or \$500 if the amount recovered was equal to or greater than \$500.
- 4.3 For each garnishment exemption claim form Defendants sent to a Washington consumer from June 7, 2018 to May 31, 2019, in a bank garnishment involving private student loan debt, including all garnishments on behalf of Vocational Training Institutes, Inc. d/b/a PIMA Medical Institute, Defendants shall refund to the consumer all funds recovered in the garnishment if the amount recovered was less than \$2,500, or \$2,500 if the amount recovered was equal to or greater than \$2,500.
- 4.4 For each Washington consumer against whom Defendants obtained a judgment for, and subsequently recovered, garnishment fees or costs from October 15, 2012 to the present, when no funds were captured in the garnishment, Defendants shall refund to the consumer the fees and costs paid. Defendants shall also make a total payment of \$32,000 in interest, to be divided among the consumers identified as receiving payments pursuant to this paragraph prorata in proportion to the respective amounts of fees or costs refunded to each consumer.
- 4.5 For each Washington consumer against whom Defendants obtained a judgment for garnishment fees or costs from October 15, 2012 to the present, when no funds were captured in the garnishment, and from whom Defendants did not subsequently recover the fees or costs,

Defendants shall forgive and write off all such garnishment fees and costs from any corresponding judgment account balances for the consumer.

- 4.6 Defendants shall refund a total amount of \$238,000 to be divided among Washington consumers from whom Defendants collected funds through original collection efforts and collection actions newly filed from August 1, 2011 to October 14, 2012, pro rata in proportion to the respective amounts Defendants recovered from each consumer during that period. For purposes of this paragraph, "original collection efforts and collection actions newly filed" excludes accounts previously collected and debt collection actions previously filed by others before August 1, 2011.
- 4.7 For Washington consumer Melanie Shelton, Defendants shall vacate the garnishment judgment that was entered in Thurston County Superior Court on January 6, 2021, in the amount of \$1,457.36 (including \$1,258.68 garnishment judgment plus \$198.68 costs), and either release the garnishment and restore \$1,457.36 to her bank account if the funds have not been received by Defendants; or refund \$1,457.36 to her if the funds were received by Defendants.
- 4.8 To the extent that an individual consumer is eligible for more than one category of relief set forth in paragraphs 4.2 through 4.7, or is eligible for multiple recoveries within a single category of relief, he or she shall be entitled to all of the relief set forth in the applicable paragraphs.
- 4.9 By the time of the fifing of this Consent Decree for review and entry by the Court, Defendants shall have provided written confirmation to the Attorney General's Office (AGO) that they have transferred \$477,457 into a blocked trust account controlled by Defendants' attorneys to fund Defendants' restitution obligations as set forth above. The funds transferred to the blocked account shall only be used (a) to fund payments to consumers as set forth in paragraphs 4.2 through 4.8; (b) to transfer any residual funds to the AGO that were designated for distribution but remain undistributed because issued checks mailed to consumers were

uncashed, undeliverable, or returned, as set forth in paragraph 4.12; and (c) to return funds to Defendants to the extent that the estimated restitution amounts under paragraphs 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 prove to be greater than the amount necessary to fully fund Defendants' payment obligations under those paragraphs, as set forth in paragraph 4.10.

4.10 Defendants shall provide the AGO with an accounting identifying the consumers who qualified for each category of relief and the amount of relief provided as set forth in paragraph 4.11. The Parties understand and agree that Defendants' restitution obligations under paragraphs 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 may be more or less than the amounts estimated above. If the estimated amounts are insufficient to cover Defendants' obligations under those paragraphs, Defendants shall transfer additional funds to the blocked trust account sufficient to fully fund their payment obligations under those paragraphs within fourteen (14) days of notice of insufficiency. If the estimated amounts are greater than required to provide full restitution under those paragraphs, the difference shall be returned to Defendants within fourteen (14) days of the mailing of checks to consumers.

4.11 Within ninety (90) days following entry of this Consent Decree, Defendants shall use its best efforts to (a) identify the consumers eligible for relief under paragraphs 4.2 through 4.8; (b) issue checks to these consumers in amounts identified in paragraphs 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7; (c) provide the issued checks to the ΛGO so that the AGO can mail the checks to consumers with a cover letter from the ΛGO; and (d) provide the AGO with an accounting identifying the consumers who were eligible for each category of relief and the amount of relief provided to each consumer. Defendants should send the issued checks to the Office of the Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division, Attention: Margaret Farmer, Litigation Support Manager, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98104. Defendants should send the accounting as an Excel spreadsheet via e-mail to Matt Geyman at matt.geyman@atg.wa.gov and Amy Teng at amy teng@atg.wa.gov.

4.12 Unless the Parties later stipulate in writing to another time frame, one hundred eighty (180) days from the date the AGO mails the checks to eligible consumers, any remaining amounts that were designated for distribution but remain undistributed because issued checks mailed to consumers were uncashed, undeliverable, or returned, shall be paid by Defendants to the AGO to the address set forth in paragraph 5.2. The AGO may apply the funds to a *cy pres* fund for debt counseling or similar services offered to Washington consumers or for any other use, including further efforts by the AGO to provide restitution to eligible consumers whose checks were not cashed, at its discretion.

V. OTHER MONETARY RELIEF

- 5.1 Defendants shall pay the State the amount of \$414,000 for its costs and attorneys' fees in investigating this matter, future monitoring and enforcement of this Consent Decree, future enforcement of the CPA, RCW 19.86, or for any lawful purpose in the discharge of the Attorney General's duties at the sole discretion of the Attorney General.
- 5.2 The payment referenced in paragraph 5.1 shall be made upon entry of this Consent Decree to the Office of the Attorney General, Consumer Protection Division, Attention: Margaret Farmer, Litigation Support Manager, 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, WA 98104. Defendants' failure to timely make payment as required by this Consent Decree shall constitute a material breach of this Consent Decree.

VI. ENFORCEMENT

- 6.1 Violation of any of the material terms of this Consent Decree, as determined by the Court, shall constitute a violation of the Consumer Protection Act, 19.86,020. The Defendants shall use best efforts to identify consumers required by this Consent Decree.
- 6.2 Violation of any of the injunctions contained in this Consent Decree, as determined by the Court, shall subject Defendants to a civil penalty pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, restitution, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, costs, and such other remedies as the Court may

deem appropriate. In any successful action to enforce this Consent Decree against Defendants,

Defendants shall bear the AGO's costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees.

- 6.3 Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Consent Decree to apply to the Court for enforcement of compliance with this Consent Decree, to punish violations thereof; or to modify or clarify this Consent Decree.
- 6.4 Representatives of the AGO shall be permitted, upon advance written notice of twenty (20) days to Defendants, to access, inspect, and/or copy non-privileged business records or documents in possession, custody, or under control of Defendants to monitor compliance with this Consent Decree; provided that the inspection and copying shall avoid unreasonable disruption of Defendants' business activities.
- 6.5 This Consent Decree in no way limits the AGO, or any other state agency, from conducting any lawful non-public investigation to monitor Defendants' compliance with this Consent Decree or to investigate other alleged violations of state or federal law.
- 6.6 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall grant any third-party beneficiary or other rights to any person who is not a party to this Consent Decree.
- 6.7 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or bar any other governmental entity or person from pursuing other available remedies against Defendants or any other person.
- 6.8 Under no circumstances shall this Consent Decree, or the name of the State of Washington, the AGO, the Consumer Protection Division, or any of their employees or representatives, be used by Defendants or any of their successors, assigns, employees, contractors, representatives, or any others acting in concert or active participation with Defendants, in connection with any selling, advertising, or promotion of products or services, or as an endorsement or approval of Defendants' acts, practices, or conduct of business, including in fillings in court proceedings.
 - 6.9 This Consent Decree shall be binding upon Defendants' successors and assigns.

6.10 Any notice or other communication required or permitted under this Consent Decree shall be in writing and delivered to the following persons or any person subsequently designated by the parties:

For Plaintiff:

11

Office of the Attorney General Consumer Protection Division Attention: Matthew Geyman, AAG 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104 For Defendants:

Buchalter Attention: Brad P. Thoreson 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3100 Seattle, WA 98101

VII. OTHER PROVISIONS

- 7.1 This Consent Decree is a voluntary agreement and it shall not be construed in any way as an admission of law, fact, liability, or misconduct, including, without limitation, as a violation of the CPA or the CAA. Defendants expressly deny the claims, allegations, or causes of action that were or could have been asserted by the State.
- 7.2 This Consent Decree represents the full and complete terms of the settlement entered into by the Parties hereto. In any action undertaken by the Parties, no prior versions of this Consent Decree and no prior versions of any of its terms that were not entered by the Court in this Consent Decree, may be introduced for any purpose whatsoever.
- 7.3 The Parties agree and affirm that each of them has the authority to execute and perform the terms of this Consent Decree.
- 7.4 This Consent Decree may be executed in counterparts, and a facsimile or .pdf signature shall be deemed to be, and shall have the same force and effect as, an original signature.
- 7.5 This Consent Decree releases and resolves with prejudice the claims alleged by the State in the Complaint. The Consent Decree releases Defendants (and their respective agents, owners, employees, officers, trustees, members, related business entities, business affiliates, clients, lawyers and accountants), with respect to all claims or counterclaims that were or could have been brought by the State related to any acts or omissions by Defendants alleged in the

Complaint that predate entry of this Consent Decree, including any claim under common law or 1 under any federal, state, or local statute or ordinance. 2 DISMISSAL OF ACTION 3 VIII. 4 Upon entry of this Consent Decree, and with continuing jurisdiction for 5 enforcement as provided above, this action, and any and all claims asserted against the Defendants herein, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs to any Party other than as 6 7 expressly provided in this Consent Decree. 8 The Clerk of the Court is ordered to immediately emer the foregoing Judgment and 9 Consent Decree. RT this 7 day of May, 2021. 10 11 12 13 14 Notice of Presentment Waived and Presented by: Approved as to Form by: 15 ROBERT W. FERGUSON BUCHALTER 16 Attorney General 17 18 BRAD P. THORESON, WSBA #18190 JOHN B. CROSETTO, WSBA #36667 AMY C. TENG. WSBA #50003 19 Attorneys for Defendants Assistant Attorneys General 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3100 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington 20 Seattle: WA 98101 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 21 Seattle, WA 98104 22 23 24 25 26