and one (1) franchisee-owned and operated restaurant in Washington.

ŀ

22

23

24

25

26

2.2. Until April 30, 2019, Franchisor	included language in its franchise agreements
that restricted a franchisee's ability to solicit of	r hire workers from another of Franchisor's
franchisees or from Franchisor (no-poaching pr	ovision). Specifically, the standard franchise
agreement stated that a franchisee "and Franchis	ee's Principals shall not, directly or indirectly:
Employ or seek to employ any person who is emp	loyed by Franchisor or by any other franchisee
of Franchisor, or induce such person to leave	such employment" or contained functionally
equivalent language. A no-poaching provision res	tricted franchisees from hiring both employees
from another of Franchisor's franchisees and from	om Franchisor's corporate-owned restaurants.
However, Franchisor never enforced the no-poac	hing provisions in any of its existing franchise
agreements.	

- 2.3. The Attorney General asserts that the foregoing conduct constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030.
- 2.4. Franchisor expressly denies that the conduct described above constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade in violation of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.030, or any other law, and expressly denies that it has engaged in conduct that constitutes a contract, combination, or conspiracy in restraint of trade. Franchisor enters into this AOD to avoid protracted and expensive litigation. Pursuant to RCW 19.86.100, neither this AOD nor its terms shall be construed as an admission of law, fact, liability, misconduct, or wrongdoing on the part of Franchisor.

III. ASSURANCE OF DISCONTINUANCE

- 3.1. Subject to paragraph 2.4 above, Franchisor agrees:
- It will not include no-poach provisions in any of its future franchise agreements;
- 3.1.2 It will not enforce no-poaching provisions in any of its existing franchise agreements, and will not seek to intervene or defend in any way the legality of any no-poach

2

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

21 22

23

20

24 25

26

Within 60 days of entry of this AOD, Franchisor will exercise all reasonable commercial efforts to amend all existing franchise agreements with entities in Washington to remove any no-poaching provisions in its existing franchise agreements. Franchisor is under no obligation to offer any franchisee any monetary or non-monetary consideration to induce them to accept the proposed amendment of the franchise, and it shall be under no obligation to take any coercive action against a franchisee that may refuse or decline to agree to any amendment of its franchise agreement. If any franchise owner is unwilling to consent to the change to its franchise agreement, prior to the 60-day deadline, Franchisor shall provide the name and address of the resisting franchisee and the name and address of the franchisee's registered agent to the Office of the Attorney General.

- 3.3. As they come up for either renewal or renegotiation during the ordinary course of business, Franchisor will amend all of its existing franchise agreements on a nationwide basis to remove any no-poach provision.
- Within 90 days of the conclusion of the time periods referenced in this section 3.4. III, Franchisor will submit a declaration to the Attorney General's Office signed under penalty of perjury stating that all provisions of this agreement have been satisfied.

IV. ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

4.1. This AOD is binding on, and applies to Franchisor, including each of its respective directors, officers, managers, agents acting within the scope of their agency, and employees, as well as their respective successors and assigns, controlled subsidiaries, divisions,

JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER

25

26

1	Presented by:
2	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
3	Address deficial
4	JUSTIN WADE, WSBA #41168
5	Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Division
6	Office of the Attorney General
7	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 464-7030
8	Justin.Wade@atg.wa.gov
9	
10	Attorneys for State of Washington
11/	Agreed to antiapproved for entry by: CEC ENTERVAINMENT, INC.
12	CISC ENTERNIAMENT, DVC.
13	ROBERT MAHLER, WSBA #23913 CEC Entertainment's Authorized
14	Polsinelli PC Representative
15	Scattle, WA 98104 By: Day A. Deck
16	bob.mahler@polsinelli.com Its: Deputy General Coursel
17	and
18	JAN GILBERT
19	Polsinelli PC 1401 I Street N.W., Suite 800
	Washington, D.C. 20005
20	(202) 777-8918 jgilbert@polsinelli.com
21	Attorneys for CEC Entertainment, Inc.
22	Amerineya yer ede Biterraniment, met
23	
24	
25	
26	