1 FILEBE COUNTY CLERK 2 3 2021 AUG 27 P 2: 20 WHATCOM COUNTY WASHINGTON 4 5 BY___ 6 STATE OF WASHINGTON 7 WHATCOM COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, 00882 9 Plaintiff, CONSENT DECREE AGAINST 10 **BELLINGHAM ANESTHESIA** V. ASSOCIATES, P.S. 11 Bellingham Anesthesia Associates, P.S., CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED 12 Defendant 13 ROBERT E. OLSON 14 15 I. SETTLEMENT SUMMARY 16 1.1 Plaintiff: State of Washington 17 1.2 Defendant: Bellingham Anesthesia Associates, P.S. 18 1.3 Monetary Payment: \$110,000 (see Section VII) 19 1.4 Attorney for Plaintiff: Rahul Rao Travis A. Kennedy 20 State of Washington Attorney General's Office 21 1.5 Attorney for Defendant: **David Maas** Davis Wright Tremaine 22 23 II. INTRODUCTION 24 2.1 Plaintiff State of Washington, by and through its Attorney General, has 25 commenced an action under RCW 19.86, the Unfair Business Practices-Consumer 26 Protection Act (CPA), against Defendant Bellingham Anesthesia Associates, P.S. 27

CONSENT DECREE AGAINST **BELLINGHAM ANESTHESIA ASSOCIATES**

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Antitrust Division 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188 (206) 464-7744

37



1

- 2.2 The State initiated an investigation under the CPA into Defendant's use of both (1) exclusive agreements with health care facilities to provide anesthesia services ("Facility Contracts"), and (2) overbroad and restrictive non-compete agreements with its employed and shareholder physicians. As to the Facility Contracts, Defendant is the exclusive provider of anesthesia to a number of acute care hospitals in Whatcom, Skagit, San Juan, and Snohomish counties. On top of the acute care hospitals, Defendant is also the exclusive provider at a multi-specialty ambulatory surgical center (ASC) and a singlespecialty ASCs in Bellingham. Defendant also provides services to a single-specialty ASC in Skagit County. As to the non-compete agreements, prior to the enactment of Washington's new law limiting an employer's use of non-compete agreements, see RCW 49.62, et seq., Defendants required 3-year non-compete agreements with all of its doctors, whether employed or a shareholder. After the enactment of RCW 49.62, Defendant reduced the restriction to 18 months for employed doctors, but kept the 3-year restriction on its shareholders. The State's complaint alleges that Defendant's combined use of exclusive Facility Contracts with ASCs and its non-compete agreements foreclosed the market to new
- 2.3 Plaintiff and Defendant have engaged in arms-length negotiations and have agreed on a basis for settlement of all Plaintiff's claims against Defendant and to the entry of this Consent Decree without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law.
- 2.4 Defendant does not admit the allegations of the Complaint or any liability or violation of law, and believes it has valid defenses to Plaintiff's claims and any potential claims that have been or could be asserted by Plaintiff against Defendant. Nevertheless, Defendant agrees to entry of this Consent Decree to: (a) avoid the expense, inconvenience, and distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation; (b) obtain the releases, orders, and final judgment contemplated by this Consent Decree; and (c) put to rest and terminate with finality all claims

22

23

24

25

competition in violation of RCW 19.86.040.

that Plaintiff has asserted against Defendant that relates in any way to or arise out of the allegations in the Complaint, as more particularly set forth below.

- 2.5 Defendant recognizes and states that it enters into this Consent Decree voluntarily and that other than the promises contained here, no promises have been made by the Attorney General's Office or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof to induce Defendant to enter into this Consent Decree.
- 2.6 All parties agree that this Consent Decree is entered voluntarily and represents the entire agreement of the parties. All parties agree and represent that any persons signing this Consent Decree have been authorized to execute this Consent Decree.
- 2.7 Plaintiff and Defendant waive any right they may have to appeal from this Consent Decree and from the Order adopting it, provided that no substantive changes are made to the Consent Decree after it has been presented to the Court.

NOW, THEREFORE, there being no just reason for delay for resolving the claims alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, and before the taking of any testimony, and without trial or adjudication of any issue of any fact or law, and upon consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED**, **AND DECREED** as follows:

III. JURISDICTION AND SCOPE

- 3.1 The Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the Complaint, as well as the implementation, enforcement, and performance of the terms included in this Consent Decree.
- 3.2 The Washington State Attorney General has the authority to bring this Action under to RCW 19.86.080. Venue is proper in Whatcom County Superior Court because the Defendant conducts business in Whatcom County.

IV. **DEFINITIONS**

THE COURT ORDERS that the following definitions shall be used in interpreting the terms of this Consent Decree:

27

- 4.1 "Defendant" shall refer to Bellingham Anesthesia Associates (BAA), with its principal place of business located 909 Squalicum Way, Suite 102, Bellingham, Washington, 98225.
 - 4.2 "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Court enters this Consent Decree.
- 4.3 "Facility Contract" shall mean agreements with acute care and non-acute care facilities to provide anesthesia services.
- 4.4 "Non-Compete Agreement" shall mean a standalone contract or a clause in an employment or shareholder agreement that requires the worker who signed the agreement, after their employment or ownership interest has ended, to refrain from working in a similar line of work or opening a competing business for a specified period in a certain geographic area
- 4.5 "Acute care facility" shall mean any healthcare facility where patients with acute medical conditions—i.e., those that are not medically stable or have not attained a satisfactory level of rehabilitation—are seen and treated.
- 4.6 "Non-acute care facility" shall mean any healthcare facility that is not an acute care facility, such as ambulatory surgery centers and clinics.
- 4.7 "State" shall mean the Plaintiff, State of Washington, by and through the Attorney General.
- 4.8 "Complaint" shall mean the Complaint filed against Defendant in State of Washington v. Bellingham Anesthesia Associates.

V. APPLICABILITY

- 5.1 Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall make copies of this Consent Decree available to each of its current employees and shareholders. This may be satisfied either by providing electronic copies of the Consent Decree to individuals.
- 5.2 Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall forward copies of this Consent Decree to each of its former employees and shareholders whose employment or ownership interest terminated within the three years preceding the Effective Date and against

27

1

any individual against whom Defendant is enforcing a non-compete. This may be satisfied either by mailing the Consent Decree through the U.S. Postal Service to the former employee's last known address, or by email to the employee's last known email address.

- 5.3 The obligations in this Consent Decree shall apply to Defendant, its successors and assigns, subsidiaries, affiliates, directors, officers, managers, agents, and employees.
- 5.4 Defendant shall notify the State in writing at least 30 days prior to any proposed change that may affect its compliance obligations under this Consent Decree, such as dissolution, assignment for the benefit of creditors, sale resulting in emergency of a successor entity, creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, changes to or addition of any non-compete agreement to which Defendant is a party, or any other change that may affect compliance obligations under this Consent Decree. A copy of this Consent Decree shall be given to any successor entity.

VI. **INJUNCTION**

- 6.1 The following terms shall apply for the duration of the Consent Decree.
- 6.2 Facility Contracts.
- 6.2.1 Defendant shall not include or agree to any provision or clause in a Facility Contract with a non-acute care facility located in either Whatcom or Skagit counties that would make or have the effect of making Defendant the exclusive provider of anesthesia services at that non-acute care facility. This prohibition applies to ASCs or other non-acute care facilities associated with or owned by an acute-care facility.
- 6.2.2 Defendant shall not enforce the provision found at section 2(c), or any other provision explicitly or implicitly suggesting exclusivity, in the Facility Contract with Bellingham Ambulatory Surgery Center if BASC takes action that would otherwise be in breach of that provision explicitly or implicitly suggesting exclusivity.
- 6.2.3 Defendant shall not enforce the provision found at section 1, or any other provision explicitly or implicitly suggesting exclusivity, in the Facility Contract with Cascade

23

24

25

26

27

Outpatient Spice Center (COSC) if COSC takes action that would otherwise be in breach of that provision explicitly or implicitly suggesting exclusivity.

- 6.2.4 Defendant shall give notice to BASC and COSC that it will not enforce the provisions of the respective Facility Contracts identified in Paragraphs 6.2.2 and 6.2.3.
- 6.2.5 Section 6.2 shall not prevent a non-acute care facility from voluntarily choosing to use BAA exclusively for anesthesia services, so long as (1) BAA does not expressly or impliedly require such exclusivity, and (2) BAA does not have an enforceable right to such exclusivity.

6.3 Non-Compete Agreements

- 6.3.1 Defendant shall not require any employed physician to sign a non-compete agreement with a time restriction longer than 9 months.
- 6.3.2 Defendant shall modify all existing and in-effect non-compete agreements with current or former employed physicians to a term no longer than 9 months.
- 6.3.3 Defendant shall not require any shareholder physician to sign a non-compete agreement with a time restriction longer than 12 months.
- 6.3.4 Defendant shall modify all existing and in-effect non-compete agreements with current or former shareholder physicians to a term no longer than 12 months.
- 6.3.5 If future changes in state or federal law eliminates entirely or reduces restrictive terms in non-compete agreements to time periods shorter than those in this Consent Decree, then Defendant shall modify all then in-effect non-competes to be consistent with new law. If, however, future federal or state law permits restrictive time periods in non-competes to be longer than those in this Consent Decree, Defendant shall continue to be bound by the agreed terms in this Consent Decree.
- 6.4 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as deeming any conduct required or permitted as presumptively legal. The Attorney General takes no position on the validity or enforceability under common law, the CPA, or any other state or federal law of any

Facility Contract or non-compete agreement that Defendant enters under terms consistent with this Consent Decree, and nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or bar any private party or other governmental entity (other than Plaintiff and its officials and agencies) from challenging the validity or enforceability of a Facility Contract or non-compete agreement.

VII. MONETARY PAYMENT

- 7.1 Defendant agrees to settle this matter for \$110,000 payable to the State of Washington.
- 7.2 Under RCW 19.86.080, the Attorney General shall use the funds for recovery of the costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this matter, future monitoring and enforcement of the Consumer Protection Act, or for any lawful purpose in the discharge of the Attorney General's duties at the sole discretion of the Attorney General.
- 7.3 Defendant shall make payment to the State of Washington by wire transfer to the Attorney General within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. If Defendant fails to timely make this payment, without prior written agreement by the Attorney General's office, Defendant shall be in material breach of this Consent Decree. Defendant will bear the Attorney General's costs and fees associated with any action to recover the monetary payment or enforce this Consent Decree as well as be liable for interest on any amounts owing at 12% per year.

VIII. NO EFFECT IF THIS CONSENT DECREE IS NOT ENTERED

8.1 In the event that this Consent Decree is not approved and entered by the Court, then this Consent Decree shall be of no force or effect. Defendant and Plaintiff expressly reserve all of their rights if this Consent Decree does not become final.

IX. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT

9.1 The Court will retain jurisdiction for 10 years for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Consent Decree to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or implementation of any of

the provisions of this Consent Decree, for the enforcement of compliance, and for the punishment of any violations.

- 9.2 On an annual basis until the expiration of this Consent Decree, Defendant shall certify in writing to the Washington Attorney General that it has complied and is complying with the provisions of this Consent Decree.
- 9.3 A violation of any of the terms of this Consent Decree shall, if proven, constitute a violation of an injunction for which the Attorney General may seek the maximum allowable civil penalties under RCW 19.86.140.
- 9.4 In any contempt of court proceeding initiated to enforce this Consent Decree due to a violation of its terms, Plaintiff or Defendant may seek, and the Court shall have the authority to grant, all remedies available in such a proceeding.
- 9.5 Nothing here precludes Plaintiff from enforcing the provisions of this Consent Decree, or from pursuing any law enforcement action with respect to the acts or practices of Defendant not covered by this Consent Decree or any acts or practices conducted after the Effective Date.
- 9.6 The State releases Defendant from all claims that the State asserted or could assert based on the past conduct alleged in the Complaint.
- 9.7 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or bar any other governmental entity (other than Plaintiff and its officials and agencies) from pursuing other available remedies, if any, against Defendant.
- 9.8 This Consent Decree shall be construed and interpreted to effectuate the intent of the parties, which is to provide for a complete and final resolution of Plaintiff's claims that were asserted, or could have been asserted, with respect to Defendant in this Consent Decree.
- 9.9 This Consent Decree shall be governed by and interpreted according to the substantive laws of the State of Washington without regard to its choice of law or conflict of laws principles.

27

	9.10	Neither Plaintiff nor Defendant shall be considered the drafter of this Consent
Decree	or any	of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law or rule of interpretation
of con	struction	n that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of
this Co	nsent D	Decree.

9.11 Solely for the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Consent Decree, Defendant authorizes its attorneys to accept service of a motion by Plaintiff to enforce or interpret this Consent Decree.

X. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 10.1 This Consent Decree shall expire ten years from the date of entry; provided, however, that this Consent Decree may remain in effect after completion of such 10-year period solely for the purpose of determining or enforcing compliance during its 10-year period.
- 10.2 Plaintiff and Defendant have read and understand this Consent Decree and enter into it voluntarily, each having been advised by its undersigned counsel of the meaning and effect of each provision of this Consent Decree.
- 10.3 This Consent Decree may be executed in counterparts by the Attorney General and Defendant, and a signature page sent electronically shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Consent Decree.
 - 10.4 This Consent Decree shall not be construed to provide any rights to third parties.

XI. NOTIFICATIONS

11.1 All notices issued under this Consent Decree shall be issued with a reference to the caption and number, to the following:

To Plaintiff State of Washington:

Rahul Rao Travis Kennedy Assistant Attorneys General Antitrust Division State of Washington Attorney General's Office 800 5th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104

206.464.7744 rahul.rao@atg.wa.gov travis.kennedy@atg.wa.gov

To Defendant:

David Maas
Davis Wright Tremaine
920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98104-1610
206.757.8184
davidmaas@dwt.com

XII. APPROVAL AND ORDER

12.1 This Consent Decree is approved and hereby entered under RCW 19.86.080. This proceeding in all other respects is hereby dismissed with prejudice with all parties to bear their own attorneys' fees and costs.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 27 day of AJCUST, 2021.

WHATCOM COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

Presented By:

ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General

RAHUL RAO, WSBA No. 53375 TRAVIS KENNEDY, WSBA No. 47742

Assistant Attorney General 800 Fifth Avenue, suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104-3188

206.442.4499 206.332.7082

rahul.rao@atg.wa.gov travis.kennedy@atg.wa.gov Attorneys for the Plaintiff

CONSENT DECREE AGAINST BELLINGHAM ANESTHESIA ASSOCIATES Agreed to, Approved for Entry, and Notice of Presentation Waived;

DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE

DAVID MAAS, WSBA No. 50694 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 206.757.8184 davidmaas@dwt.com

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
Antitrust Division
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Scattle, WA 98104-3188

(206) 464-7744

1 PROOF OF SERVICE I certify that I served a copy of this document on all parties or their counsel of record 2 3 on the date below as follows: 4 Electronic Mail and/or ABC Legal Messenger: 5 DAVID MAAS, WSBA No. 50694 Davis Wright Tremaine 6 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 7 206.757.8184 8 davidmaas@dwt.com 9 DATED this 25th day of August _____ 2021, at Seattle, Washington. 10 s/ Rahul Rao 11 RAHUL RAO, WSBA No. 53375 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Superior Court ---- Whatcom County ---- Washington State

The State of Washington

vs.

GR-17 Declaration

Bellingham Anesthesia Associates, P.S.

I DECLARE THAT:

- 1. I am over the age of 18 years, competent to be a witness, and not a party to this action.
- 2. I received, by electronic means, a document for filing in the above captioned case.
- 3. I have examined said document, found it clear and discernible, and attached this Declaration thereto.
- 4. I received said document on: 08/25/2021
- 5. The name of said document was: Consent Decree Against Bellingham Anesthesia Associates, P.S.
- 6. The number of pages in said document, including this Declaration, was: 12

I declare under the penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of Washington, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signed in on 08/25/2021

4th Corner Network, Inc. 110 Prospect St. Bellingham, WA 98225 360-671-2455 Penny Perry 347764

