			ILED		
		J	AN 31 2020		
1	Hearing date: January 31, 2020	2	Superior Court da Myhre Enlow		
2	Hearing time: 9:00 a.m. Judge/Calendar: Hon. John C. Skinder	Thur	ston County Clerk		
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON THURSTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT				
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	NO. 15-2-01936-5			
9					
10	Plaintiff,	PARTIAL JUDGMENT AC DEFENDANT FREEDOM			
11	v.	FOUNDATION ON VIOLA	ATIONS		
12	EVERGREEN FREEDOM FOUNDATION d/b/a FREEDOM	- IPROPOSED JES			
13	FOUNDATION,				
14	Defendant.				
15	THIS MATTER came for hearing befor	ore the Court on January 31, 202	0 on Plaintiff State		
16	of Washington's (State) Agreed Motion for				
17		of Washington's (State) Agreed Motion for a Partial Judgment Against Defendant Freedom Foundation on Violations. Plaintiff State of Washington appeared and was represented by			
18		đ	IdNOT		
19	Assistant Attorney General S. Todd Sipe; Defendant Freedom Foundation appeared and was				
20	represented by Mark C-Lamb, The Court reviewed the records and pleadings on file and heard				
21	oral arguments from the parties. The Court hereby makes the following:				
22	I. FINDINGS OF FACT				
23	1. In 2014, an employee of the Defendant Freedom Foundation created a set of				
24	sample ordinances/ballot propositions to be used by residents of Washington to change local				
25	sample ordinances/ballot propositions to be				
26					

PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS 1

laws related to collective bargaining between municipalities and their employee bargaining
 representatives.

2. Sequim resident Susan Brautigam filed two Freedom Foundation-prepared ballot propositions and corresponding signatures she gathered for those ballot propositions with the Clallam County Auditor's Office.

3. On September 3, 2014, Ms. Brautigam filed a lawsuit in Clallam County Superior
Court requesting that the court order the propositions be placed on the ballot: *In Re: Susan Brautigam v. City of Sequim, et al.*, Case No. 14-2-00771-2.

4. Freedom Foundation staff member David Dewhirst appeared as counsel and
 represented Ms. Brautigam in the lawsuit. Freedom Foundation paid Mr. Dewhirst his normal
 salary to pursue this litigation.

5. Tom McCabe, in his capacity as Chief Executive Officer for Defendant Freedom
Foundation, authorized Mr. Dewhirst to participate in this litigation. Ms. Brautigam did not pay
Mr. Dewhirst for his legal services.

17 6. The Clallam County Superior Court entered summary judgment against
18 Ms. Brautigam on December 8, 2014. Mr. Dewhirst's work on the lawsuit began in August 2014
19 and continued until the Court entered summary judgment in December 2014.

7. Freedom Foundation's expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work on Ms. Brautigam's lawsuit seeking to have two ballot propositions placed on the ballot in Sequim was \$6,322.40.

8. Freedom Foundation did not file any C-6 reports with the Public Disclosure
Commission disclosing its expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work supporting the ballot
propositions submitted by Ms. Brautigam until July 2, 2019.

PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS

3

4

5

6

13

20

21

22

26

2

1	9. In August 2014, Shelton resident Diane Good filed two Freedom Foundation-			
2	prepared ballot propositions and corresponding signatures she gathered with the Shelton City			
3	Clerk's Office.			
4	10.	On October 6, 2014, Ms. Good filed a lawsuit in Mason County Superior Court		
5 6	requesting that the court order the propositions be placed on the ballot: Diane Good v. City of			
7	Shelton, et al., Case No. 14-2-00555-9.			
8	11.	Freedom Foundation staff member David Dewhirst appeared as counsel and		
9	represented Ms. Good in the lawsuit. Freedom Foundation paid Mr. Dewhirst his normal salary			
10	to pursue this litigation.			
11	12.	Tom McCabe, in his capacity as Chief Executive Officer for Defendant Freedom		
12	Foundation, authorized Mr. Dewhirst to participate in this litigation. Ms. Good did not pay			
13	Mr. Dewhirst for his legal services.			
14 15	13.	The Mason County Superior Court entered summary judgment against Ms. Good		
16	on January 2,	2015. Mr. Dewhirst's work on the lawsuit began in September 2014 and continued		
17	until the Court entered summary judgment in January 2015.			
18	14.	Freedom Foundation's expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work on Ms. Good's		
19	lawsuit seekin	ng to have two ballot propositions placed on the ballot in Shelton was \$1,730.76.		
20	15.	Freedom Foundation did not file any C-6 reports with the Public Disclosure		
21	Commission	disclosing its expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work supporting the ballot		
22 23		ubmitted by Ms. Good until July 2, 2019.		
23	1 1 1			
25				
26				
11		I		

3

PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS

1	16. In September 2014, Chelan residents Edson Clark and Al Lorenz filed two				
2	Freedom Foundation-prepared ballot propositions and corresponding signatures they gathered				
3	with the Chelan County Clerk's Office.				
4	17. On November 21, 2014, Messrs. Clark and Lorenz filed a lawsuit in Chelan				
5 6	County Superior Court requesting that the court order the propositions be placed on the ballot:				
7	Clark v. City of Chelan, Case No. 14-2-01095-2.				
8	18. Freedom Foundation staff member David Dewhirst appeared as counsel a				
9	represented Messrs. Clark and Lorenz in the lawsuit. Freedom Foundation paid Mr. Dewhirst his				
10	normal salary to pursue this litigation.				
11	19. Tom McCabe, in his capacity as Chief Executive Officer for Defendant Freedom				
12	Foundation, authorized Mr. Dewhirst to participate in this litigation. Messrs. Clark and Lorenz				
13 14	did not pay Mr. Dewhirst for his legal services.				
14	20. The Chelan County Superior Court entered summary judgment against				
16	Messrs. Clark and Lorenz on March 11, 2015. Mr. Dewhirst's work on the lawsuit began in				
17	November 2014 and continued until the Court entered summary judgment in March 2015.				
18	21. Freedom Foundation's expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work on Messrs. Clark				
19	and Lorenz's lawsuit seeking to have two ballot propositions placed on the ballot in Shelton was				
20	\$1,730.76.				
21	22. The State's enforcement action on the above facts was dismissed by Thurston				
22 23	County Superior Court on May 17, 2016, a decision that was reversed on November 7, 2017.				
23	This decision was reviewed and upheld by the State Supreme Court in a 5-4 decision on				
25	January 10, 2019. The United States Supreme Court denied cert on May 28, 2019.				
26	valuary 10, 2017. The Onlied States Supreme Court defied out on may 20, 2019.				
11	PARTIAL HIDGMENIT AGAINST 4 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON				

PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS

1	23. Freedom Foundation did not file any C-6 reports with the Public Disclosure				
2	Commission disclosing its expenditures for Mr. Dewhirst's work supporting the ballot				
3	propositions submitted by Messrs. Clark and Lorenz until July 2, 2019.				
4	24. There was no election on any of the ballot measures at issue in this case.				
5	II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
6 7	Based on these undisputed facts, the Court makes the following determinations as a				
8	matter of law:				
9	1. The value of legal services provided by Freedom Foundation staff member David				
10	Dewhirst from August 2014 through March 2015 in lawsuits seeking to have ballot propositions				
11	placed on the ballot in Sequim, Shelton and Chelan constituted "independent expenditures" as				
12	defined in RCW 42.17A.255 that were required to be reported to the Public Disclosure				
13	Commission.				
14	2. The Freedom Foundation was required to report these independent expenditures				
15	2. The Freedom Foundation was required to report these independent expenditures				
16	in C-6 reports filed with the Public Disclosure Commission each month from August 2014 to				
17	April 2015. Freedom Foundation violated RCW 42.17A.255 by failing to timely file these C-6				
18	reports.				
19	3. Freedom Foundation was required to file an initial C-6 report on or before August				
20	30, 2014, or within five days of the date that Mr. Dewhirst began work on Ms. Brautigam's				
21	lawsuit in Clallam County Superior Court. The information required for this report was filed on				
22	July 2, 2019.				
23					
24 25					
26					
	PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST     5     ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON				

DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS

1 4. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the independent 2 expenditures incurred during the remainder of the August 2014 reporting period on 3 September 10, 2014. The information required for this report was filed on July 2, 2019. 4 5. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the independent 5 expenditures incurred during the September 2014 reporting period on October 10, 2014. The 6 information required for this report was filed on July 2, 2019. 7 6. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the October 2014 8 9 reporting period on November 10, 2014. The information required for this report was filed on 10 July 2, 2019. 11 Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the November 2014 7. 12 reporting period on December 10, 2014. The information required for this report was filed on 13 July 2, 2019. 14 8. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the December 2014 15 reporting period on January 10, 2015. The information required for this report was filed on 16 17 July 2, 2019. 18 9. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the January 2015 19 reporting period on February 10, 2015. The information required for this report was filed on 20 July 2, 2019. 21 10. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the February 2015 22 reporting period on March 10, 2015. The information required for this report was filed on 23 July 2, 2019. 24 25 26

1	11. Freedom Foundation was required to file a C-6 report for the March 2015				
2	reporting period on April 10, 2014. The information required for this report was filed				
3	<sup>3</sup> July 2, 2019.				
4	III. ORDER				
5					
6					
7	7 1. Freedom Foundation is determined to have violated State campaign finance la				
8	on nine occasions by failing to timely file C-6 reports for their independent expenditures in				
9	support of local ballot propositions from August 2014 to March 2015.				
10	2. Freedom Foundations violations of the law having been determined, this case				
11	shall proceed on the issue of determining the appropriate penalty and potential fees and costs award to be assessed against Freedom Foundation for their established violations of RCW 42.17A, after which the Court shall set the judgment by separate order. DATED this $\frac{3}{2}$ day of $\frac{1}{2}$ day				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17	THE HONORABLE JOHN C. SKINDER				
18					
19	PRESENTED BY: ROBERT W. FERGUSON				
20	Attorney General				
21	a/S. Todd Sine				
22	<u>s/ S. Todd Sipe</u> S.TODD SIPE, WSBA #23203				
23	ZEBULAR J. MADISON, WSBA #37415 Assistant Attorneys General				
24	Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington				
25					
26					
	PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST       7       ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON Complex Litigation Division         DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION       7141 Cleanwater Drive SW PO Box 40111         ON VIOLATIONS       PO Box 40111				

PO Box 40111 Olympia, WA 98504-0111 (360) 709-6470

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
2	2 THE NORTH CREEK LAW FIRM		
3	3		
4	s/ Mark Lamb		
5	MARK LAMB, WSBA #30134 Attorney for Defendant Freedom Found	dation	
6	5		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
· 18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	PARTIAL JUDGMENT AGAINST	8	ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHIN

DEFENDANT FREEDOM FOUNDATION ON VIOLATIONS

NGTON NEY GENERAL OF WASHI Complex Litigation Division 7141 Cleanwater Drive SW PO Box 40111 Olympia, WA 98504-0111 (360) 709-6470